

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

888 First St. NE

Washington, D.C. 20426

RE: Docket #PF 14-14-000

October 26, 2014

Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First St. NE,
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Bose & Mr. Davis, (Secretary & Deputy Secretary of FERC)

As an American citizen who believes in what our country stands for and those who serve our country, I am writing and submitting this comment. I am very concerned and fearful of the proposed RT Rover pipeline. After reading your information under Pre-Filing Review For ET Rover Pipeline Co., it is my belief that you and your commission will listen to our concerns and deny this proposed pipeline project on the grounds that it is unnecessary and will create great hardship for landowners and their environment.

There are many issues and concerns of the proposed ET Rover pipeline relative to the requirements of eminent domain and the FERC siting guidelines 380.15:

Eminent Domain - Not A Public Necessity

Please know that we understand the need for energy...but the proposed pipeline will have little to no benefit to the citizens of Washtenaw County nor of the State of Michigan. In fact, per the Marcellus Drilling news website, the bulk of this proposed pipeline project is to provide delivery of raw natural gas to Canada and other interconnects to the Midwest. This means that ET plans to seize the property which we have worked so hard to purchase, maintain and keep, not for the greater good, but to allow a large corporation to expand its profits! In fact at a town meeting in Lima Township Michigan, an ET Rover representative stated that only 18% of the gas would remain in Michigan. When asked where the 18% was going he stated he did not know. Earlier statements by ET personnel indicated that there were no current contracts for this gas to be consumed in Michigan. Another representative from ET Rover stated in a presentation that the production in the Northeast from the Marcellus and Utica shale formations is to the point that supply now exceeds demand. Much of the current demand and projected growth, according to ET's own press release by Shelley Corman, is for export, not domestic use.

Avoid forested areas where practical 380.15(d)(2)

Much of ET Rover's proposed route will result in cleared swaths through what is currently woodland. Other routes could and should be utilized, such as the existing Panhandle Eastern Pipeline right-of-way or rights-of-way along highways, which would result in virtually no new permanent destruction of woodlands.

These are some of the foremost reasons that we oppose this proposed pipeline and hope that you will take this into consideration.

Respectfully,

SIGNATURE: _____

NAME (printed): _____

ADDRESS: _____

ADDRESS: _____

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

